

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
2 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

3 NETCHOICE,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

6 ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as  
7 Attorney General of California,

8 Defendant.  
9

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF  
NETCHOICE'S MOTION FOR  
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

10 This matter came before the Court for hearing. The Court has considered the matter and  
11 ORDERS as follows:

12 (A) Defendant and anyone acting in active concert with his office are immediately  
13 RESTRAINED from taking any actions to enforce California Senate Bill 976 (2024) under the law  
14 in any way;

15 (B) This PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION will issue without the requirement of any  
16 security bond because NetChoice has shown a likelihood of success on its claims. The Court  
17 additionally finds that Defendant will suffer no harm from maintaining the status quo.

18 (C) This PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION shall take effect immediately and remain in  
19 effect until otherwise ordered by the Court.

20 SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2024.  
21  
22

23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
25  
26  
27

28 **ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF NETCHOICE'S  
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**